

STABLE SIGNAL RECOVERY FROM PHASELESS MEASUREMENTS

BING GAO, YANG WANG, AND ZHIQIANG XU

ABSTRACT. The aim of this paper is to study the stability of the ℓ_1 minimization for the compressive phase retrieval and to extend the instance-optimality in compressed sensing to the real phase retrieval setting. We first show that the $m = \mathcal{O}(k \log(N/k))$ measurements is enough to guarantee the ℓ_1 minimization to recover k -sparse signals stably provided the measurement matrix A satisfies the strong RIP property. We second investigate the phaseless instance-optimality with presenting a null space property of the measurement matrix A under which there exists a decoder Δ so that the phaseless instance-optimality holds. We use the result to study the phaseless instance-optimality for the ℓ_1 norm. The results build a parallel for compressive phase retrieval with the classical compressive sensing.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we consider the phase retrieval for sparse signals with noisy measurements, which arises in many different applications. Assume that

$$b_j := |\langle a_j, x_0 \rangle| + e_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, m$$

where $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $a_j \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $e_j \in \mathbb{R}$ is the noise. Our goal is to recover x_0 up to a unimodular scaling constant from $b := (b_1, \dots, b_m)^\top$ with the assumption of x_0 being approximately k -sparse. This problem is referred to as the *compressive phase retrieval problem* [9].

The paper attempts to address two problems. Firstly we consider the stability of ℓ_1 minimization for the compressive phase retrieval problem where the signal x_0 is approximately k -sparse, which is the ℓ_1 minimization problem defined as follows:

$$(1.1) \quad \min \|x\|_1 \quad \text{subject to} \quad \||Ax| - |Ax_0|\|_2 \leq \epsilon,$$

where $A := [a_1, \dots, a_m]^\top$ and $|Ax_0| := [|\langle a_1, x_0 \rangle|, \dots, |\langle a_m, x_0 \rangle|]^\top$. Secondly we investigate the instance-optimality in the phase retrieval setting.

Note that in the classical compressive sensing setting the stable recovering a k -sparse signal $x_0 \in \mathbb{C}^N$ can be done using $m = \mathcal{O}(k \log(N/k))$ measurements for several classes of measurement matrices A . A natural question is whether stable compressive phase retrieval can also be attained with $m = \mathcal{O}(k \log(N/k))$ measurements. This is indeed proved to be the case in [7] if $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and A is a random real Gaussian matrix. One drawback is that the recovery method presented in [7] is computationally unfeasible. In [8] a two-stage algorithm for compressive phase retrieval is proposed, which allows for very fast recovery of a sparse signal if the matrix A can be written as a product of a random matrix and

Yang Wang was supported in part by the AFOSR grant FA9550-12-1-0455 and NSF grant IIS-1302285. Zhiqiang Xu was supported by NSFC grant 11171336, 11331012, 11021101 and National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program 2010CB832702).

another matrix (such as a random matrix) that allows for efficient phase retrieval. The authors also proved that stable compressive phase retrieval can be achieved with $m = \mathcal{O}(k \log(N/k))$ measurements also for complex signals x_0 . In this paper, we shall show that the ℓ_1 minimization scheme given in (1.1) will recover a k -sparse signal stably from $m = \mathcal{O}(k \log(N/k))$ measurements, provided that the measurement matrix A satisfies the so-called strong RIP (S-RIP) property. This establishes an important parallel for compressive phase retrieval with the classical compressive sensing. Note that in [11] such a parallel in terms of the null space property was already established.

The notion of *instance optimality* was first introduced in [1]. Given a norm $\|\cdot\|_X$ such as the ℓ_1 -norm and $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, the best k -term approximation error is defined as

$$\sigma_k(x)_X := \min_{z \in \Sigma_k} \|x - z\|_X,$$

where

$$\Sigma_k := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : \|x\|_0 \leq k\}.$$

We use $\Delta : \mathbb{R}^m \mapsto \mathbb{R}^N$ to denote a decoder for reconstructing x . We say the pair (A, Δ) is *instance optimal of order k with constant C_0* if

$$(1.2) \quad \|x - \Delta(Ax)\|_X \leq C_0 \sigma_k(x)_X$$

holds for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. In extending it to phase retrieval, our decoder will have the input $b = |Ax|$. A pair (A, Δ) is said to be *phaseless instance optimal of order k with constant C_0* if

$$(1.3) \quad \min \left\{ \|x - \Delta(|Ax|)\|_X, \|x + \Delta(|Ax|)\|_X \right\} \leq C_0 \sigma_k(x)_X$$

holds for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. We are interested in the following problem : *Given $\|\cdot\|_X$ and $k < N$, what is the minimal value of m for which there exists (A, Δ) so that (1.3) holds?*

The null space $\mathcal{N}(A) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : Ax = 0\}$ of A plays an important role in the analysis of the original instance optimality (1.2) (see [1]). Here we present a null space property for $\mathcal{N}(A)$, which is necessary and sufficient, for which there exists a decoder Δ so that (1.3) holds. We apply the result to investigate the instance optimality where X is the ℓ_1 norm. Set

$$\Delta_1(|Ax|) := \operatorname{argmin}_{z \in \mathbb{R}^N} \left\{ \|z\|_1 : |Ax| = |Az| \right\}.$$

We show that the pair (A, Δ_1) satisfies the (1.3) with $X = \ell_1$ -norm provided A satisfies the strong RIP property (see Definition 2.1). As shown in [10], the Gaussian random matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times N}$ satisfies the strong RIP of order k for $m = \mathcal{O}(k \log(N/k))$. Hence $m = \mathcal{O}(k \log(N/k))$ measurements suffice to ensure the phaseless instance optimality (1.3) for ℓ_1 -norm exactly as with the traditional instance optimality (1.2).

2. AUXILIARY RESULTS

In this section we provide some auxiliary results that will be used in later sections. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ we use $\|x\|_p := \|x\|_{\ell_p}$ to denote the p -norm of x for $0 < p \leq \infty$. The measurement matrix is given by $A := [a_1, \dots, a_m]^T \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times N}$ as before. Given an index set $I \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$ we shall use A_I to denote the sub-matrix of A where only rows with indices in I are kept, i.e.,

$$A_I := [a_j : j \in I]^T.$$

The matrix A satisfies the *Restricted Isometry Property (RIP)* of order k if there exists a constant $\delta_k \in [0, 1)$ such that for all k -sparse vectors $z \in \mathbb{R}^N$ we have

$$(1 - \delta_k) \|z\|_2^2 \leq \|Az\|_2^2 \leq (1 + \delta_k) \|z\|_2^2.$$

It was shown in [5] that one can use ℓ_1 minimization to recover k -sparse signals provided that A satisfies the RIP of order $t \cdot k$ and $\delta_{t \cdot k} < \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{t}}$ where $t > 1$.

To investigate compressive phase retrieval, a stronger notion of RIP is given in [10]:

Definition 2.1. (*S-RIP*): We say the matrix $A = [a_1, \dots, a_m]^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times N}$ has the Strong Restricted Isometry Property of order k with bounds θ_- , $\theta_+ \in (0, 2)$ if

$$(2.1) \quad \theta_- \|x\|_2^2 \leq \min_{I \subseteq [m], |I| \geq m/2} \|A_I x\|_2^2 \leq \max_{I \subseteq [m], |I| \geq m/2} \|A_I x\|_2^2 \leq \theta_+ \|x\|_2^2$$

holds for all k -sparse signals $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, where $[m] := \{1, \dots, m\}$. We say A has the Strong Lower Restricted Isometry Property of order k with bound θ_- if the lower bound in (2.1) holds. Similarly we say A has the Strong Upper Restricted Isometry Property of order k with bound θ_+ if the upper bound in (2.1) holds.

The authors of [10] proved that Gaussian matrices with $m = \mathcal{O}(tk \log(N/k))$ satisfy S-RIP of order tk with high probability.

Theorem 2.1 ([10]). Suppose that $t > 1$ and $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times N}$ is a random Gaussian matrix with $m = \mathcal{O}(tk \log(N/k))$ and $a_{ij} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}})$. Then there exist $\theta_-, \theta_+ \in (0, 2)$ such that with probability $1 - \exp(-cm/2)$ the matrix A satisfies the S-RIP of order tk with constants θ_- and θ_+ , where $c > 0$ is an absolute constant and θ_-, θ_+ are independent of t .

The following is a very useful lemma for this study.

Lemma 2.1. Suppose that $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $\rho \geq 0$. Suppose that $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times N}$ is a measurement matrix satisfying the restricted isometry property with $\delta_{tk} \leq \sqrt{\frac{t-1}{t}}$ for some $t > 1$. Then for any

$$\hat{x} \in \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^N : \|x\|_1 \leq \|x_0\|_1 + \rho, \|Ax - Ax_0\|_2 \leq \epsilon \right\}$$

we have

$$\|\hat{x} - x_0\|_2 \leq c_1 \epsilon + c_2 \frac{2\sigma_k(x_0)_1}{\sqrt{k}} + c_2 \cdot \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{k}},$$

where $c_1 = \frac{\sqrt{2(1+\delta)}}{1 - \sqrt{t/(t-1)\delta}}$, $c_2 = \frac{\sqrt{2\delta} + \sqrt{(\sqrt{t(t-1)} - \delta t)\delta}}{\sqrt{t(t-1) - \delta t}} + 1$.

Remark 2.1. We build the proof of Lemma 2.1 following the ideas of Cai and Zhang [5]. The full proof is given in Appendix for completeness. It is well-known that an effective method to recover approximately-sparse signals x_0 in the traditional compressive sensing is to solve

$$(2.2) \quad x^\# := \underset{x}{\operatorname{argmin}} \{ \|x\|_1 : \|Ax - Ax_0\|_2 \leq \epsilon \}.$$

The definition of $x^\#$ shows that

$$\|x^\#\|_1 \leq \|x_0\|_1, \|Ax^\# - Ax_0\|_2 \leq \epsilon,$$

which implies that

$$\|x^\# - x_0\|_2 \leq C_1 \epsilon + C_2 \frac{\sigma_k(x_0)_1}{\sqrt{k}},$$

provided that A satisfies the RIP condition with $\delta_{tk} \leq \sqrt{1 - 1/t}$ for $t > 1$ (see [5]). However, in practice one prefers to design fast algorithms to find an approximation solution of (2.2), say $\hat{x}$. Thus it is possible to have $\|\hat{x}\|_1 > \|x_0\|_1$. Lemma 2.1 gives an estimation of $\|\hat{x} - x_0\|_2$ for the case where $\|\hat{x}\|_1 \leq \|x_0\|_1 + \rho$.

3. STABLE RECOVERY OF REAL PHASE RETRIEVAL PROBLEM

3.1. Stability Results. The following lemma shows that the map $\phi_A(x) := |Ax|$ is stable on Σ_k modulo a unimodular constant provided A satisfies strong lower RIP of order $2k$. Define the equivalent relation $\sim$ on $\mathbb{R}^N$ and $\mathbb{C}^N$ by the following: for any $x \sim y$ iff $x = cy$ for some unimodular scalar c , where x, y are in $\mathbb{R}^N$ or $\mathbb{C}^N$. For any subset Y of $\mathbb{R}^N$ or $\mathbb{C}^N$ the notation $Y/\sim$ denotes the equivalent classes of elements in Y under the equivalence. Note that there is a natural metric $D_\sim$ on $\mathbb{C}^N/\sim$ given by

$$D_\sim(x, y) = \min_{|c|=1} \|x - cy\|.$$

Our primary focus in this paper will be on $\mathbb{R}^N$, and in this case $D_\sim(x, y) = \min\{\|x - y\|_2, \|x + y\|_2\}$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times N}$ satisfy the strong lower RIP of order $2k$ with constant θ_- . Then for any $x, y \in \Sigma_k$ we have*

$$\||Ax| - |Ay|\|_2^2 \geq \theta_- \min(\|x - y\|_2^2, \|x + y\|_2^2).$$

Proof. For any $x, y \in \Sigma_k$ we divide $\{1, \dots, m\}$ into two groups: $T = \{j : \text{sign}(\langle a_j, x \rangle) = \text{sign}(\langle a_j, y \rangle)\}$ and $T^c = \{j : \text{sign}(\langle a_j, x \rangle) = -\text{sign}(\langle a_j, y \rangle)\}$. Clearly one of T and T^c will have cardinality at least $m/2$. Without loss of generality we assume that T has cardinality no less than $m/2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \||Ax| - |Ay|\|_2^2 &= \|A_T x - A_T y\|_2^2 + \|A_{T^c} x + A_{T^c} y\|_2^2 \\ &\geq \|A_T x - A_T y\|_2^2 \\ &\geq \theta_- \|x - y\|_2^2 \\ &\geq \theta_- \min(\|x - y\|_2^2, \|x + y\|_2^2). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.1. *Note that the combination of Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 2.1 shows that for an $m \times N$ Gaussian matrix A with $m = O(k \log(N/k))$ one can guarantee the map $\phi_A(x) := |Ax|$ is stable on $\Sigma_k/\sim$.*

3.2. The Main Theorem. In this part, we will consider how many measurements are needed for the stable sparse phase retrieval by ℓ_1 -minimization via solving the following model:

$$(3.1) \quad \min \|x\|_1 \quad \text{subject to} \quad \||Ax| - |Ax_0|\|_2^2 \leq \epsilon^2,$$

where A is our measurement matrix and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ is a signal we wish to recover. The next theorem tells under what conditions the solution to (3.1) is stable.

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times N}$ satisfies the S-RIP of order tk with bounds $\theta_-, \theta_+ \in (0, 2)$ such that*

$$t \geq \max\left\{\frac{1}{2\theta_- - \theta_-^2}, \frac{1}{2\theta_+ - \theta_+^2}\right\}.$$

Then any solution $\hat{x}$ for (3.1) satisfies

$$\min\{\|\hat{x} - x_0\|_2, \|\hat{x} + x_0\|_2\} \leq c_1\epsilon + c_2 \frac{2\sigma_k(x_0)_1}{\sqrt{k}},$$

where c_1 and c_2 are constants defined in Lemma 2.1.

Proof. Clearly any $\hat{x} \in \mathbb{R}^N$ satisfying (3.1) must have

$$(3.2) \quad \|\hat{x}\|_1 \leq \|x_0\|_1$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad \||A\hat{x}| - |Ax_0|\|_2^2 \leq \epsilon^2.$$

Now the indices set $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ is divided into two subsets

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \{j : \text{sign}(\langle a_j, \hat{x} \rangle) = \text{sign}(\langle a_j, x_0 \rangle)\} \\ T^c &= \{j : \text{sign}(\langle a_j, \hat{x} \rangle) = -\text{sign}(\langle a_j, x_0 \rangle)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then (3.3) implies that

$$(3.4) \quad \|A_T \hat{x} - A_T x_0\|_2^2 + \|A_{T^c} \hat{x} - A_{T^c} x_0\|_2^2 \leq \epsilon^2.$$

Here either $|T| \geq m/2$ or $|T^c| \geq m/2$. Without loss of generality we assume that $|T| \geq m/2$. We use the fact

$$(3.5) \quad \|A_T \hat{x} - A_T x_0\|_2^2 \leq \epsilon^2.$$

From (3.2) and (3.5) we obtain

$$(3.6) \quad \hat{x} \in \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : \|x\|_1 \leq \|x_0\|_1, \|A_T x - A_T x_0\|_2 \leq \epsilon\}.$$

Recall that A satisfies S-RIP of order tk and constants θ_- , θ_+ . Here

$$(3.7) \quad t \geq \max\left\{\frac{1}{2\theta_- - \theta_-^2}, \frac{1}{2\theta_+ - \theta_+^2}\right\} > 1.$$

The definition of S-RIP implies that A_T satisfies the RIP of order tk in which

$$(3.8) \quad \delta_{tk} \leq \max\{1 - \theta_-, \theta_+ - 1\} \leq \sqrt{\frac{t-1}{t}}$$

where the second inequality follows from (3.7). The combination of (3.6), (3.8) and Lemma 2.1 now implies

$$\|\hat{x} - x_0\|_2 \leq c_1\epsilon + c_2 \frac{2\sigma_k(x_0)_1}{\sqrt{k}},$$

where c_1 and c_2 are defined in Lemma 2.1. If $|T^c| \geq \frac{m}{2}$ we get the corresponding result

$$\|\hat{x} + x_0\|_2 \leq c_1\epsilon + c_2 \frac{2\sigma_k(x_0)_1}{\sqrt{k}}.$$

The theorem is now proved. ■

This theorem demonstrates that, if the measurement matrix have the S-RIP, real compressive phase retrieval problem can be solved stably by ℓ_1 -minimization.

4. PHASE RETRIEVAL AND BEST K-TERM APPROXIMATION

4.1. Instance optimality from the linear measurements. We introduce some definitions and results in [1]. Recall that for a given encoder matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times N}$ and a decoder $\Delta : \mathbb{R}^m \mapsto \mathbb{R}^N$, the pair (A, Δ) is said to have instance optimality of order k with constant C_0 with respect to the norm X if

$$(4.1) \quad \|x - \Delta(Ax)\|_X \leq C_0 \sigma_k(x)_X$$

holds for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Set $\mathcal{N}(A) := \{\eta \in \mathbb{R}^N : A\eta = 0\}$ to be the null space of A . The following theorem gives conditions under which the (4.1) holds.

Theorem 4.1 ([1]). *Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times N}$, $1 \leq k \leq N$ and $\|\cdot\|_X$ be a norm. Then a sufficient condition for the existence of a decoder Δ satisfying (4.1) is*

$$(4.2) \quad \|\eta\|_X \leq \frac{C_0}{2} \sigma_{2k}(\eta)_X, \quad \forall \eta \in \mathcal{N}(A).$$

A necessary condition for the existence of a decoder Δ satisfying (4.1) is

$$(4.3) \quad \|\eta\|_X \leq C_0 \sigma_{2k}(\eta)_X, \quad \forall \eta \in \mathcal{N}(A).$$

For the norm $X = \ell_1$ it was established in [1] that instance optimality of order k can indeed be achieved, e.g. for a Gaussian matrix A , with $m = O(k \log(N/k))$. The authors also considered more generally taking different norms on both sides of (4.1). Following [1], we say the pair (A, Δ) has (p, q) -instance optimality of order k with constant C_0 if

$$(4.4) \quad \|x - \Delta(Ax)\|_{\ell_p} \leq C_0 \sigma_k(x)_{\ell_q} / k^{1/p-1/q}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

with $1 \leq q \leq p \leq 2$. It was shown in [1] that the (p, q) -instance optimality of order k can be achieved at the cost of having $m = \mathcal{O}(k(N/k)^{2-2/q} \log(N/k))$ measurements.

4.2. Phaseless Instance Optimality. A natural question here is whether an analogous result of Theorem 4.1 exists for phaseless instance optimality defined in (1.3). We answer the question by presenting such a result in the case of real phase retrieval.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times N}$, $1 \leq k \leq N$ and $\|\cdot\|_X$ be a norm. Then a sufficient condition for the existence of a decoder Δ satisfying the phaseless instance optimality (1.3) is: For any $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $\eta_1 \in \mathcal{N}(A_I)$, $\eta_2 \in \mathcal{N}(A_{I^c})$ we have*

$$(4.5) \quad \min\{\|\eta_1\|_X, \|\eta_2\|_X\} \leq \frac{C_0}{4} \sigma_k(\eta_1 - \eta_2)_X + \frac{C_0}{4} \sigma_k(\eta_1 + \eta_2)_X.$$

A necessary condition for the existence of a decoder Δ satisfying (1.3) is: For any $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $\eta_1 \in \mathcal{N}(A_I)$, $\eta_2 \in \mathcal{N}(A_{I^c})$ we have

$$(4.6) \quad \min\{\|\eta_1\|_X, \|\eta_2\|_X\} \leq \frac{C_0}{2} \sigma_k(\eta_1 - \eta_2)_X + \frac{C_0}{2} \sigma_k(\eta_1 + \eta_2)_X.$$

Proof. We first assume (4.5) holds, and show that there exists a decoder Δ satisfying the phaseless instance optimality (1.3). To this end, we define a decoder Δ as follows:

$$\Delta(|Ax_0|) = \underset{|Ax|=|Ax_0|}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sigma_k(x)_X.$$

Suppose $\hat{x} := \Delta(|Ax_0|)$. We have $|A\hat{x}| = |Ax_0|$ and $\sigma_k(\hat{x})_X \leq \sigma_k(x_0)_X$. Note that $\langle a_j, \hat{x} \rangle = \pm \langle a_j, x_0 \rangle$. Let $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$ be defined by

$$I = \left\{ j : \langle a_j, \hat{x} \rangle = \langle a_j, x_0 \rangle \right\}.$$

Then

$$A_I(x_0 - \hat{x}) = 0, \quad A_{I^c}(x_0 + \hat{x}) = 0.$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_1 &:= x_0 - \hat{x} \in \mathcal{N}(A_I), \\ \eta_2 &:= x_0 + \hat{x} \in \mathcal{N}(A_{I^c}). \end{aligned}$$

A simple observation yields

$$(4.7) \quad \sigma_k(\eta_1 - \eta_2)_X = 2\sigma_k(\hat{x})_X \leq 2\sigma_k(x_0)_X, \quad \sigma_k(\eta_1 + \eta_2)_X = 2\sigma_k(x_0)_X.$$

Then (4.5) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \min\{\|\hat{x} - x_0\|_X, \|\hat{x} + x_0\|_X\} &= \min\{\|\eta_1\|_X, \|\eta_2\|_X\} \\ &\leq \frac{C_0}{4}\sigma_k(\eta_1 - \eta_2)_X + \frac{C_0}{4}\sigma_k(\eta_1 + \eta_2)_X \\ &\leq C_0\sigma_k(x_0)_X. \end{aligned}$$

Here the last equality is obtained by (4.7). This proves the sufficient condition.

We next turn to the necessary condition. Let Δ be a decoder for which the phaseless instance optimality (1.3) holds. Let $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$. For any $\eta_1 \in \mathcal{N}(A_I)$ and $\eta_2 \in \mathcal{N}(A_{I^c})$ we have

$$(4.8) \quad |A(\eta_1 + \eta_2)| = |A(\eta_1 - \eta_2)| = |A(\eta_2 - \eta_1)|.$$

The instance optimality implies

$$(4.9) \quad \min\{\|\Delta(|A(\eta_1 + \eta_2)|) + \eta_1 + \eta_2\|_X, \|\Delta(|A(\eta_1 + \eta_2)|) - (\eta_1 + \eta_2)\|_X\} \leq C_0\sigma_k(\eta_1 + \eta_2)_X.$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that

$$\|\Delta(|A(\eta_1 + \eta_2)|) + \eta_1 + \eta_2\|_X \leq \|\Delta(|A(\eta_1 + \eta_2)|) - (\eta_1 + \eta_2)\|_X.$$

Then (4.9) implies that

$$(4.10) \quad \|\Delta(|A(\eta_1 + \eta_2)|) + \eta_1 + \eta_2\|_X \leq C_0\sigma_k(\eta_1 + \eta_2)_X.$$

By (4.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\Delta(|A(\eta_1 + \eta_2)|) + \eta_1 + \eta_2\|_X \\ &= \|\Delta(|A(\eta_2 - \eta_1)|) - (\eta_2 - \eta_1) + 2\eta_2\|_X \\ (4.11) \quad &\geq 2\|\eta_2\|_X - \|\Delta(|A(\eta_2 - \eta_1)|) - (\eta_2 - \eta_1)\|_X. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (4.10) and (4.11) yields

$$(4.12) \quad 2\|\eta_2\|_X \leq C_0\sigma_k(\eta_1 + \eta_2)_X + \|\Delta(|A(\eta_2 - \eta_1)|) - (\eta_2 - \eta_1)\|_X.$$

At the same time, (4.8) also implies

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\Delta(|A(\eta_1 + \eta_2)|) + \eta_1 + \eta_2\|_X \\ &= \|\Delta(|A(\eta_2 - \eta_1)|) + (\eta_2 - \eta_1) + 2\eta_1\|_X \\ (4.13) \quad &\geq 2\|\eta_1\|_X - \|\Delta(|A(\eta_2 - \eta_1)|) + (\eta_2 - \eta_1)\|_X. \end{aligned}$$

Putting (4.10) and (4.13) together, we obtain

$$(4.14) \quad 2\|\eta_1\|_X \leq C_0\sigma_k(\eta_1 + \eta_2)_X + \|\Delta(|A(\eta_2 - \eta_1)|) + (\eta_2 - \eta_1)\|_X.$$

It follows from (4.12) and (4.14) that

$$\begin{aligned} \min\{\|\eta_1\|_X, \|\eta_2\|_X\} &\leq \frac{C_0}{2}\sigma_k(\eta_1 + \eta_2)_X + \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2}\min\{\|\Delta(|A(\eta_2 - \eta_1)|) - (\eta_2 - \eta_1)\|_X, \|\Delta(|A(\eta_2 - \eta_1)|) + (\eta_2 - \eta_1)\|_X\} \\ &\leq \frac{C_0}{2}\sigma_k(\eta_1 + \eta_2)_X + \frac{C_0}{2}\sigma_k(\eta_1 - \eta_2)_X. \end{aligned}$$

Here the last inequality is obtained by (A, Δ) satisfying the instance optimality. For the case where

$$\|\Delta(|A(\eta_1 + \eta_2)|) - (\eta_1 + \eta_2)\|_X \leq \|\Delta(|A(\eta_1 + \eta_2)|) + \eta_1 + \eta_2\|_X,$$

we obtain

$$\min\{\|\eta_1\|_X, \|\eta_2\|_X\} \leq \frac{C_0}{2}\sigma_k(\eta_1 + \eta_2)_X + \frac{C_0}{2}\sigma_k(\eta_1 - \eta_2)_X$$

by the similar way. The theorem is now proved. $\blacksquare$

We next present a null space property for phaseless instance optimality, which allows us to establish parallel results for sparse phase retrieval.

Definition 4.1. We say a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times N}$ satisfies the *strong null space property (S-NSP)* of order k with constant C if for any index set $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$ with $|I| \geq m/2$ and $\eta \in \mathcal{N}(A_I)$ we have

$$\|\eta\|_X \leq C \cdot \sigma_k(\eta)_X.$$

Theorem 4.3. Assume that a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times N}$ has the strong null space property of order $2k$ with constant $C_0/2$. Then there must exist a decoder Δ having the phaseless instance optimality (1.3) with constant C_0 . In particular, one such decoder is

$$\Delta(|Ax_0|) = \operatorname{argmin}_{|Ax|=|Ax_0|} \sigma_k(x)_X.$$

Proof. Assume that $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$. For any $\eta_1 \in \mathcal{N}(A_I)$ and $\eta_2 \in \mathcal{N}(A_{I^c})$ we must have either $\|\eta_1\|_X \leq \frac{C_0}{2}\sigma_{2k}(\eta_1)_X$ or $\|\eta_2\|_X \leq \frac{C_0}{2}\sigma_{2k}(\eta_2)_X$ by the strong null space property. If $\|\eta_1\|_X \leq \frac{C_0}{2}\sigma_{2k}(\eta_1)_X$ then

$$\|\eta_1\|_X \leq \frac{C_0}{2}\sigma_{2k}(\eta_1)_X \leq \frac{C_0}{4}\sigma_k(\eta_1 - \eta_2)_X + \frac{C_0}{4}\sigma_k(\eta_1 + \eta_2)_X.$$

Similarly if $\|\eta_2\|_X \leq \frac{C_0}{2}\sigma_{2k}(\eta_2)_X$ we will have

$$\|\eta_2\|_X \leq \frac{C_0}{2}\sigma_{2k}(\eta_2)_X \leq \frac{C_0}{4}\sigma_k(\eta_1 - \eta_2)_X + \frac{C_0}{4}\sigma_k(\eta_1 + \eta_2)_X.$$

It follows that

$$(4.15) \quad \min\{\|\eta_1\|_X, \|\eta_2\|_X\} \leq \frac{C_0}{4}\sigma_k(\eta_1 - \eta_2)_X + \frac{C_0}{4}\sigma_k(\eta_1 + \eta_2)_X.$$

Theorem 4.2 now implies that the required decoder Δ exists. Furthermore, by the proof of the sufficiency part of Theorem 4.2,

$$\Delta(|Ax_0|) = \operatorname{argmin}_{|Ax|=|Ax_0|} \sigma_k(x)_X$$

is one such decoder. ■

4.3. The Case $X = \ell_1$. We next apply Theorem 4.3 to the case $X = \ell_1$ norm. The following lemma establishes a relation between S-RIP and S-NSP for the ℓ_1 -norm.

Lemma 4.1. *Let a, b, k be integers. Assume that $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times N}$ satisfies the S-RIP of order $(a + b)k$ with constants $\theta_-, \theta_+ \in (0, 2)$. Then A satisfies the S-NSP under the ℓ_1 -norm of order ak with constant*

$$C_0 = 1 + \sqrt{\frac{a(1 + \delta)}{b(1 - \delta)}},$$

where δ is the restricted isometry constant and $\delta := \max\{1 - \theta_-, \theta_+ - 1\} < 1$.

We remark that the above lemma is the analogous to the following lemma providing a relationship between RIP and NSP, which was shown in [1]:

Lemma 4.2 ([1], Lemma 4.1). *Let $a = l/k, b = l'/k$ where $l, l' \geq k$ are integers. Assume that $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times N}$ satisfies the RIP of order $(a + b)k$ with $\delta = \delta_{(a+b)k} < 1$. Then A satisfies the null space property for the ℓ_1 -norm of order ak with constant $C_0 = 1 + \frac{\sqrt{a(1+\delta)}}{\sqrt{b(1-\delta)}}$.*

Proof of Lemma 4.1. By the definition of S-RIP, for any index set $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$ with $|I| \geq m/2$, the matrix $A_I \in \mathbb{R}^{|I| \times N}$ satisfies the RIP of order $(a + b)k$ with constant $\delta_{(a+b)k} = \delta := \max\{1 - \theta_-, \theta_+ - 1\} < 1$. It follows from Lemma 4.2 that

$$\|\eta\|_1 \leq \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{a(1 + \delta)}{b(1 - \delta)}}\right) \sigma_{ak}(\eta)_1$$

for all $\eta \in \mathcal{N}(A_I)$. This proves the lemma. ■

Set $a = 2$ and $b = 1$ in Lemma 4.1 we infer that for the ℓ_1 -norm if A satisfies the S-RIP of order $3k$ with constants $\theta_-, \theta_+ \in (0, 2)$, then A satisfies the S-NSP of order $2k$ with constant $C_0 = 1 + \sqrt{\frac{2(1+\delta)}{1-\delta}}$. Hence by Theorem 4.3, there must exist a decoder that has the instance optimality under the ℓ_1 -norm with constant $2C_0$. According to Theorem 2.1, by taking $m = O(k \log(N/k))$ a Gaussian random matrix A satisfies S-RIP of order $3k$ with high probability. Hence, there exists a decoder Δ so that the pair (A, Δ) has the ℓ_1 -norm phaseless instance optimality at the cost of $m = O(k \log(N/k))$ measurements, as with the traditional instance optimality.

We are now ready to prove the following theorem on phaseless instance optimality under the $X = \ell_1$ -norm.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times N}$ satisfy the S-RIP of order $t \cdot k$ with constants $0 < \theta_- < 1 < \theta_+ < 2$, where*

$$t \geq \max \left\{ \frac{2}{\theta_-}, \frac{2}{2 - \theta_+} \right\} > 2.$$

Let

$$(4.16) \quad \Delta(|Ax_0|) = \operatorname{argmin}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} \{\|x\|_1 : |Ax| = |Ax_0|\}.$$

Then (A, Δ) has the ℓ_1 -norm phaseless instance optimality with constant $C = \frac{2C_0}{2-C_0}$, where $C_0 = 1 + \sqrt{\frac{1+\delta}{(t-1)(1-\delta)}}$ and as before

$$\delta := \max\{1 - \theta_-, \theta_+ - 1\} \leq 1 - \frac{2}{t}.$$

Proof. Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and set $\hat{x} = \Delta(|Ax_0|)$. Then by definition

$$\|\hat{x}\|_1 \leq \|x_0\|_1 \quad \text{and} \quad |A\hat{x}| = |Ax_0|.$$

Denote by $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$ the set of indices

$$I = \{j : \langle a_j, \hat{x} \rangle = \langle a_j, x_0 \rangle\},$$

and thus $\langle a_j, \hat{x} \rangle = -\langle a_j, x_0 \rangle$ for $j \in I^c$. It follows that

$$A_I(\hat{x} - x_0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad A_{I^c}(\hat{x} + x_0) = 0.$$

Set

$$\eta := \hat{x} - x_0 \in \mathcal{N}(A_I).$$

We know that A satisfies the S-RIP of order tk with constants θ_-, θ_+ where

$$t \geq \max\left\{\frac{2}{\theta_-}, \frac{2}{2 - \theta_+}\right\} > 2.$$

For the case $|I| \geq m/2$, A_I satisfies the RIP of order tk with RIP constant

$$\delta = \delta_{tk} := \max\{1 - \theta_-, \theta_+ - 1\} \leq 1 - \frac{2}{t} < 1.$$

Take $a := 1$, $b := t - 1$ in Lemma 4.1. Then A satisfies the ℓ_1 -norm S-NSP of order k with constant

$$C_0 = 1 + \sqrt{\frac{1 + \delta}{(t-1)(1-\delta)}} < 2.$$

This yields

$$(4.17) \quad \|\eta\|_1 \leq C_0 \|\eta_{T^c}\|_1,$$

where T is the index set for the k largest coefficients of x_0 in magnitude. Hence $\|\eta_T\|_1 \leq (C_0 - 1)\|\eta_{T^c}\|_1$. Since $\|\hat{x}\|_1 \leq \|x_0\|_1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_0\|_1 &\geq \|\hat{x}\|_1 = \|x_0 + \eta\|_1 = \|x_{0,T} + x_{0,T^c} + \eta_T + \eta_{T^c}\|_1 \\ &\geq \|x_{0,T}\|_1 - \|x_{0,T^c}\|_1 + \|\eta_{T^c}\|_1 - \|\eta_T\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\|\eta_{T^c}\|_1 \leq \|\eta_T\|_1 + 2\sigma_k(x_0)_1 \leq (C_0 - 1)\|\eta_{T^c}\|_1 + 2\sigma_k(x_0)_1$$

and thus

$$\|\eta_{T^c}\|_1 \leq \frac{2}{2 - C_0} \sigma_k(x_0)_1.$$

Now (4.17) yields

$$\|\eta\|_1 \leq C_0 \|\eta_{T^c}\|_1 \leq \frac{2C_0}{2 - C_0} \sigma_k(x_0)_1,$$

which implies

$$\|\hat{x} - x_0\|_1 \leq C_0 \|\eta_{T^c}\|_1 \leq \frac{2C_0}{2 - C_0} \sigma_k(x_0)_1.$$

For the case $|I^c| \geq m/2$ identical argument yields

$$\|\hat{x} + x_0\|_1 \leq C_0 \|\eta_{I^c}\|_1 \leq \frac{2C_0}{2 - C_0} \sigma_k(x_0)_1.$$

The theorem is now proved. $\blacksquare$

From Theorem 2.1, we know that an $m \times N$ random Gaussian matrix with $m = \mathcal{O}(tk \log(N/k))$ satisfies the S-RIP of order tk with high probability. We therefore conclude that the ℓ_1 -norm phaseless instance optimality of order k can be achieved at the cost of $m = \mathcal{O}(tk \log(N/k))$ measurements.

4.4. Mixed-Norm phaseless Instance Optimality. We now consider *mixed-norm phaseless instance optimality*. Let $1 \leq q \leq p \leq 2$ and $s = 1/q - 1/p$. We seek estimates of the form

$$(4.18) \quad \min\{\|x - \Delta(|Ax|)\|_p, \|x + \Delta(|Ax|)\|_p\} \leq C_0 k^{-s} \sigma_k(x)_q$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. We shall prove both necessary and sufficient conditions for mixed-norm phaseless instance optimality.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times N}$ and $1 \leq q \leq p \leq 2$. Set $s = 1/q - 1/p$. Then a decoder Δ satisfying the mixed norm phaseless instance optimality (4.18) with constant C_0 exists if: for any index set $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$ and any $\eta_1 \in \mathcal{N}(A_I)$, $\eta_2 \in \mathcal{N}(A_{I^c})$ we have*

$$(4.19) \quad \min\{\|\eta_1\|_p, \|\eta_2\|_p\} \leq \frac{C_0}{4} k^{-s} \left(\sigma_k(\eta_1 - \eta_2)_q + \sigma_k(\eta_1 + \eta_2)_q \right).$$

Conversely, assume a decoder Δ satisfying the mixed norm phaseless instance optimality (4.18) exists. Then for any index set $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$ and any $\eta_1 \in \mathcal{N}(A_I)$, $\eta_2 \in \mathcal{N}(A_{I^c})$ we have

$$\min\{\|\eta_1\|_p, \|\eta_2\|_p\} \leq \frac{C_0}{2} k^{-s} \left(\sigma_k(\eta_1 - \eta_2)_q + \sigma_k(\eta_1 + \eta_2)_q \right).$$

Proof. The proof is virtually identical to the proof of Theorem 4.2. We shall omit the details here in the interest of brevity. $\blacksquare$

Definition 4.2. (*Mixed-Norm Strong Null Space Property*) We say that A has the mixed strong null space property in norms (ℓ_p, ℓ_q) of order k with constant C if for any index set $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$ with $|I| \geq m/2$ the matrix $A_I \in \mathbb{R}^{|I| \times N}$ satisfies

$$\|\eta\|_p \leq C k^{-s} \sigma_k(\eta)_q$$

for all $\eta \in \mathcal{N}(A_I)$, where $s = 1/q - 1/p$.

The above is an extension of the standard definition of the mixed null space property of order k in norms (ℓ_p, ℓ_q) (see [1]) for a matrix A , which requires

$$\|\eta\|_p \leq C k^{-s} \sigma_k(\eta)_q$$

for all $\eta \in \mathcal{N}(A)$. We have the following straightforward generalization of Theorem 4.3.

Theorem 4.6. *Assume that $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times N}$ has the mixed strong null space property of order $2k$ in norms (ℓ_p, ℓ_q) with constant $C_0/2$, where $1 \leq q \leq p \leq 2$. Then there exists a decoder Δ such that the mixed-norm phaseless instance optimality (4.18) holds with constant C_0 .*

We establish relationships between mixed-norm strong null space property and the S-RIP. First we present the following lemma that was proved in [1].

Lemma 4.3 ([1], Lemma 8.2). *Let $k \geq 1$ and $\tilde{k} = k(\frac{N}{k})^{2-2/q}$. Assume that $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times N}$ satisfies the RIP of order $2k + \tilde{k}$ with $\delta := \delta_{2k+\tilde{k}} < 1$. Then A satisfies the mixed null space property in norms (ℓ_p, ℓ_q) of order $2k$ with constant $C_0 = 2^{1/p+1/2} \sqrt{\frac{1+\delta}{1-\delta}} + 2^{1/p-1/q}$.*

Proposition 4.1. *Let $k \geq 1$ and $\tilde{k} = k(\frac{N}{k})^{2-2/q}$. Assume that $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times N}$ satisfies the S-RIP of order $2k + \tilde{k}$ with constants $0 < \theta_- < 1 < \theta_+ < 2$. Then A satisfies the mixed strong null space property in norms (ℓ_p, ℓ_q) of order $2k$ with constant $C_0 = 2^{1/p+1/2} \sqrt{\frac{1+\delta}{1-\delta}} + 2^{1/p-1/q}$, where δ is the RIP constant and $\delta := \delta_{2k+\tilde{k}} = \max\{1 - \theta_-, \theta_+ - 1\}$.*

Proof. By definition for any index set $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$ with $|I| \geq m/2$, the matrix $A_I \in \mathbb{R}^{|I| \times N}$ satisfies RIP of order $2k + \tilde{k}$ with constant $C_0 = 2^{1/p+1/2} \sqrt{\frac{1+\delta}{1-\delta}} + 2^{1/p-1/q}$, where δ is the RIP constant and $\delta := \delta_{2k+\tilde{k}} = \max\{1 - \theta_-, \theta_+ - 1\}$. By Lemma 4.3, we know that A_I satisfies the mixed null space property in norms (ℓ_p, ℓ_q) of order $2k$ with constant $C_0 = 2^{1/p+1/2} \sqrt{\frac{1+\delta}{1-\delta}} + 2^{1/p-1/q}$, in other words for any $\eta \in \mathcal{N}(A_I)$,

$$\|\eta\|_p \leq C k^{-s} \sigma_{2k}(\eta)_q.$$

So A satisfies the mixed strong null space property. ■

Corollary 4.1. *Let $k \geq 1$ and $\tilde{k} = k(\frac{N}{k})^{2-2/q}$. Assume that $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times N}$ satisfies the S-RIP of order $2k + \tilde{k}$ with constants $0 < \theta_- < 1 < \theta_+ < 2$. Let $\delta := \delta_{2k+\tilde{k}} = \max\{1 - \theta_-, \theta_+ - 1\} < 1$. Define the decoder Δ for A by*

$$(4.20) \quad \Delta(|Ax_0|) = \underset{|Ax|=|Ax_0|}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sigma_k(x)_q.$$

Then (4.18) holds with constant $2C_0$, where $C_0 = 2^{1/p+1/2} \sqrt{\frac{1+\delta}{1-\delta}} + 2^{1/p-1/q}$.

Proof. By the Proposition 4.1, the matrix A satisfies the mixed strong null space property in (ℓ_p, ℓ_q) of order $2k$ with constant $C_0 = 2^{1/p+1/2} \sqrt{\frac{1+\delta}{1-\delta}} + 2^{1/p-1/q}$. The corollary now follows immediately from Theorem 4.6. ■

Remark 4.1. Combining Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 4.1, the mixed phaseless instance optimality of order k in norms (ℓ_p, ℓ_q) can be achieved at the price of $\mathcal{O}(k(N/k)^{2-2/q} \log(N/k))$ measurements, just as with the traditional mixed (ℓ_p, ℓ_q) -norm instance optimality. Theorem 3.1 implies that the ℓ_1 decoder satisfies the $(p, q) = (2, 1)$ mixed-norm phaseless instance optimality at the price of $\mathcal{O}(k \log(N/k))$ measurements.

5. APPENDIX: PROOF OF LEMMA 2.1

We will first need the following two Lemmas to prove Lemma 2.1.

Lemma 5.1 (Sparse Representation of a Polytope [5, 12]). *Let $s \geq 1$ and $\alpha > 0$. Set*

$$T(\alpha, s) := \left\{ u \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|u\|_\infty \leq \alpha, \|u\|_1 \leq s\alpha \right\}.$$

For any $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ let

$$U(\alpha, s, v) := \left\{ u \in \mathbb{R}^n : \text{supp}(u) \subseteq \text{supp}(v), \|u\|_0 \leq s, \|u\|_1 = \|v\|_1, \|u\|_\infty \leq \alpha \right\}.$$

Then $v \in T(\alpha, s)$ if and only if v is in the convex hull of $U(\alpha, s, v)$, i.e. v can be expressed as a convex combination of some $u_1, \dots, u_N$ in $U(\alpha, s, v)$.

Lemma 5.2 (Lemma 5.3 in [4]). *Assume that $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_m \geq 0$. Let $r \leq m$ and $\lambda \geq 0$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^r a_i + \lambda \geq \sum_{i=r+1}^m a_i$. Then for all $\alpha \geq 1$ we have*

$$(5.1) \quad \sum_{j=r+1}^m a_j^\alpha \leq r \left(\sqrt[r]{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^r a_i^\alpha}{r}} + \frac{\lambda}{r} \right)^\alpha.$$

In particular for $\lambda = 0$ we have

$$\sum_{j=r+1}^m a_j^\alpha \leq \sum_{i=1}^r a_i^\alpha.$$

We are now ready to prove Lemma 2.1.

Proof of Lemma 2.1. Set $h := \hat{x} - x_0$. Let T_0 denote the set of the largest k coefficients of x_0 in magnitude. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_0\|_1 + \rho &\geq \|\hat{x}\|_1 = \|x_0 + h\|_1 \\ &= \|x_{0,T_0} + h_{T_0} + x_{0,T_0^c} + h_{T_0^c}\|_1 \\ &\geq \|x_{0,T_0}\|_1 - \|h_{T_0}\|_1 - \|x_{0,T_0^c}\|_1 + \|h_{T_0^c}\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|h_{T_0^c}\|_1 &\leq \|h_{T_0}\|_1 + 2\|x_{0,T_0^c}\|_1 + \rho \\ &= \|h_{T_0}\|_1 + 2\sigma_k(x_0)_1 + \rho. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that S_0 is the index set of the k largest entries in absolute value of h . Then we can get

$$\begin{aligned} \|h_{S_0^c}\|_1 &\leq \|h_{T_0^c}\|_1 \leq \|h_{T_0}\|_1 + 2\sigma_k(x_0)_1 + \rho \\ &\leq \|h_{S_0}\|_1 + 2\sigma_k(x_0)_1 + \rho. \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$\alpha := \frac{\|h_{S_0}\|_1 + 2\sigma_k(x_0)_1 + \rho}{k}.$$

We divide $h_{S_0^c}$ into two parts $h_{S_0^c} = h^{(1)} + h^{(2)}$, where

$$h^{(1)} := h_{S_0^c} \cdot I_{\{i: |h_{S_0^c}(i)| > \alpha/(t-1)\}}, \quad h^{(2)} := h_{S_0^c} \cdot I_{\{i: |h_{S_0^c}(i)| \leq \alpha/(t-1)\}}.$$

A simple observation is that $\|h^{(1)}\|_1 \leq \|h_{S_0^c}\|_1 \leq \alpha k$. Set

$$\ell := |\text{supp}(h^{(1)})| = \|h^{(1)}\|_0.$$

Since all non-zero entries of $h^{(1)}$ have magnitude larger than $\alpha/(t-1)$, we have

$$\alpha k \geq \|h^{(1)}\|_1 = \sum_{i \in \text{supp}(h^{(1)})} |h^{(1)}(i)| \geq \sum_{i \in \text{supp}(h^{(1)})} \frac{\alpha}{t-1} = \frac{\alpha \ell}{t-1},$$

which implies $\ell \leq (t-1)k$. Thus we have:

$$(5.2) \quad \langle A(h_{S_0} + h^{(1)}), Ah \rangle \leq \|A(h_{S_0} + h^{(1)})\|_2 \cdot \|Ah\|_2 \leq \sqrt{1+\delta} \cdot \|h_{S_0} + h^{(1)}\|_2 \cdot \epsilon.$$

Here we apply the facts that $\|h_{S_0} + h^{(1)}\|_0 = \ell + k \leq tk$ and A satisfies the RIP of order tk with $\delta := \delta_{tk}^A$. We shall assume at first that tk as an integer. Note that $\|h^{(2)}\|_\infty \leq \frac{\alpha}{t-1}$ and

$$(5.3) \quad \|h^{(2)}\|_1 = \|h_{S_0^c}\|_1 - \|h^{(1)}\|_1 \leq k\alpha - \frac{\alpha \ell}{t-1} = (k(t-1) - \ell) \frac{\alpha}{t-1}.$$

We take $s := k(t-1) - \ell$ in Lemma 5.1 and obtain that $h^{(2)}$ is a weighted mean

$$h^{(2)} = \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i u_i, \quad 0 \leq \lambda_i \leq 1, \quad \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i = 1$$

where $\|u_i\|_0 \leq k(t-1) - \ell$, $\|u_i\|_1 = \|h^{(2)}\|_1$, $\|u_i\|_\infty \leq \alpha/(t-1)$ and $\text{supp}(u_i) \subseteq \text{supp}(h^{(2)})$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_i\|_2 &\leq \sqrt{\|u_i\|_0} \cdot \|u_i\|_\infty = \sqrt{k(t-1) - \ell} \cdot \|u_i\|_\infty \\ &\leq \sqrt{k(t-1)} \cdot \|u_i\|_\infty \\ &\leq \alpha \sqrt{k/(t-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now for $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$ and $d \geq 0$, which will be chosen later, set

$$\beta_j := h_{S_0} + h^{(1)} + \mu \cdot u_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, N.$$

Then for fixed $i \in [1, N]$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j \beta_j - d\beta_i &= h_{S_0} + h^{(1)} + \mu \cdot h^{(2)} - d\beta_i \\ &= (1 - \mu - d)(h_{S_0} + h^{(1)}) - d\mu u_i + \mu h. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\alpha = \frac{\|h_{S_0}\|_1 + 2\sigma_k(x_0)_1 + \rho}{k}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (5.4) \quad \|u_i\|_2 &\leq \sqrt{k/(t-1)} \alpha \\ &\leq \frac{\|h_{S_0}\|_2}{\sqrt{t-1}} + \frac{2\sigma_k(x_0)_1 + \rho}{\sqrt{k(t-1)}} \\ &\leq \frac{\|h_{S_0} + h^{(1)}\|_2}{\sqrt{t-1}} + \frac{2\sigma_k(x_0)_1 + \rho}{\sqrt{k(t-1)}} \\ &= \frac{z + R}{\sqrt{t-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $z := \|h_{S_0} + h^{(1)}\|_2$ and $R := \frac{2\sigma_k(x_0)1+\rho}{\sqrt{k}}$. It is easy to check the following identity:

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & (2d-1) \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \lambda_i \lambda_j \|A(\beta_i - \beta_j)\|_2^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i \left\| A \left(\sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j \beta_j - d\beta_i \right) \right\|_2^2 - \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i (1-d)^2 \|A\beta_i\|_2^2, \end{aligned}$$

provided that $\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i = 1$. Choose $d = 1/2$ in (5.5) we then have

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i \left\| A \left(\left(\frac{1}{2} - \mu \right) (h_{S_0} + h^{(1)}) - \frac{\mu}{2} u_i + \mu h \right) \right\|_2^2 - \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\lambda_i}{4} \|A\beta_i\|_2^2 = 0.$$

Note that for $d = 1/2$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| A \left(\left(\frac{1}{2} - \mu \right) (h_{S_0} + h^{(1)}) - \frac{\mu}{2} u_i + \mu h \right) \right\|_2^2 \\ &= \left\| A \left(\left(\frac{1}{2} - \mu \right) (h_{S_0} + h^{(1)}) - \frac{\mu}{2} u_i \right) \right\|_2^2 + 2 \left\langle A \left(\left(\frac{1}{2} - \mu \right) (h_{S_0} + h^{(1)}) - \frac{\mu}{2} u_i \right), \mu A h \right\rangle + \mu^2 \|A h\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from $\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i = 1$ and $h^{(2)} = \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i u_i$ that

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i \left\| A \left(\left(\frac{1}{2} - \mu \right) (h_{S_0} + h^{(1)}) - \frac{\mu}{2} u_i + \mu h \right) \right\|_2^2 \\ &= \sum_i \lambda_i \left\| A \left(\left(\frac{1}{2} - \mu \right) (h_{S_0} + h^{(1)}) - \frac{\mu}{2} u_i \right) \right\|_2^2 + 2 \left\langle A \left(\left(\frac{1}{2} - \mu \right) (h_{S_0} + h^{(1)}) - \frac{\mu}{2} h^{(2)} \right), \mu A h \right\rangle + \mu^2 \|A h\|_2^2 \\ &= \sum_i \lambda_i \left\| A \left(\left(\frac{1}{2} - \mu \right) (h_{S_0} + h^{(1)}) - \frac{\mu}{2} u_i \right) \right\|_2^2 + \mu(1-\mu) \left\langle A(h_{S_0} + h^{(1)}), A h \right\rangle - \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\lambda_i}{4} \|A\beta_i\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Set $\mu = \sqrt{t(t-1)} - (t-1)$. We next estimate the three terms in (5.6). Noting that $\|h_{S_0}\|_0 \leq k$, $\|h^{(1)}\|_0 \leq \ell$ and $\|u_i\|_0 \leq s = k(t-1) - \ell$, we obtain

$$\|\beta_i\|_0 \leq \|h_{S_0}\|_0 + \|h^{(1)}\|_0 + \|u_i\|_0 \leq t \cdot k$$

and $\left\| \left(\frac{1}{2} - \mu \right) (h_{S_0} + h^{(1)}) - \frac{\mu}{2} u_i \right\|_0 \leq t \cdot k$. Since A satisfies the RIP of order $t \cdot k$ with δ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| A \left(\left(\frac{1}{2} - \mu \right) (h_{S_0} + h^{(1)}) - \frac{\mu}{2} u_i \right) \right\|_2^2 &\leq (1+\delta) \left\| \left(\frac{1}{2} - \mu \right) (h_{S_0} + h^{(1)}) - \frac{\mu}{2} u_i \right\|_2^2 \\ &= (1+\delta) \left(\left(\frac{1}{2} - \mu \right)^2 \|h_{S_0} + h^{(1)}\|_2^2 + \frac{\mu^2}{4} \|u_i\|_2^2 \right) \\ &= (1+\delta) \left(\left(\frac{1}{2} - \mu \right)^2 z^2 + \frac{\mu^2}{4} \|u_i\|_2^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|A\beta_i\|_2^2 \geq (1-\delta) \|\beta_i\|_2^2 = (1-\delta) (\|h_{S_0} + h^{(1)}\|_2^2 + \mu^2 \cdot \|u_i\|_2^2) = (1-\delta) (z^2 + \mu^2 \cdot \|u_i\|_2^2).$$

Combining the result above with (5.2) and (5.4) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq (1+\delta) \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i \left(\left(\frac{1}{2} - \mu \right)^2 z^2 + \frac{\mu^2}{4} \|u_i\|_2^2 \right) + \mu(1-\mu) \sqrt{1+\delta} \cdot z \cdot \epsilon - (1-\delta) \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\lambda_i}{4} (z^2 + \mu^2 \|u_i\|_2^2) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i \left(\left((1+\delta) \left(\frac{1}{2} - \mu \right)^2 - \frac{1-\delta}{4} \right) z^2 + \frac{\delta}{2} \mu^2 \|u_i\|_2^2 \right) + \mu(1-\mu) \sqrt{1+\delta} \cdot z \cdot \epsilon \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i \left(\left((1+\delta) \left(\frac{1}{2} - \mu \right)^2 - \frac{1-\delta}{4} \right) z^2 + \frac{\delta}{2} \mu^2 \frac{(z+R)^2}{t-1} \right) + \mu(1-\mu) \sqrt{1+\delta} \cdot z \cdot \epsilon \\
&= \left((\mu^2 - \mu) + \delta \left(\frac{1}{2} - \mu + \left(1 + \frac{1}{2(t-1)} \right) \mu^2 \right) \right) z^2 + \left(\mu(1-\mu) \sqrt{1+\delta} \cdot \epsilon + \frac{\delta \mu^2 R}{t-1} \right) z + \frac{\delta \mu^2 R^2}{2(t-1)} \\
&= -t \left((2t-1) - 2\sqrt{t(t-1)} \right) \left(\sqrt{\frac{t-1}{t}} - \delta \right) z^2 + \left(\mu^2 \sqrt{\frac{t}{t-1}} \sqrt{1+\delta} \cdot \epsilon + \frac{\delta \mu^2 R}{t-1} \right) z + \frac{\delta \mu^2 R^2}{2(t-1)} \\
&= \frac{\mu^2}{t-1} \left(-t \left(\sqrt{\frac{t-1}{t}} - \delta \right) z^2 + (\sqrt{t(t-1)}(1+\delta)\epsilon + \delta R) z + \frac{\delta R^2}{2} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

which is a quadratic inequality for z . We know $\delta < \sqrt{(t-1)/t}$. So by solving the above inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned}
z &\leq \frac{(\sqrt{t(t-1)}(1+\delta)\epsilon + \delta R) + \left((\sqrt{t(t-1)}(1+\delta)\epsilon + \delta R)^2 + 2t(\sqrt{(t-1)/t} - \delta) \delta R^2 \right)^{1/2}}{2t(\sqrt{(t-1)/t} - \delta)} \\
&\leq \frac{\sqrt{t(t-1)}(1+\delta)}{t(\sqrt{(t-1)/t} - \delta)} \epsilon + \frac{2\delta + \sqrt{2t(\sqrt{(t-1)/t} - \delta)} \delta}{2t(\sqrt{(t-1)/t} - \delta)} R.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, noting that $\|h_{S_0^c}\|_1 \leq \|h_{S_0}\|_1 + R\sqrt{k}$, in the Lemma 5.2, if we set $m = N$, $r = k$, $\lambda = R\sqrt{k} \geq 0$ and $\alpha = 2$ then $\|h_{S_0^c}\|_2 \leq \|h_{S_0}\|_2 + R$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\|h\|_2 &= \sqrt{\|h_{S_0}\|_2^2 + \|h_{S_0^c}\|_2^2} \\
&\leq \sqrt{\|h_{S_0}\|_2^2 + (\|h_{S_0}\|_2 + R)^2} \\
&\leq \sqrt{2\|h_{S_0}\|_2^2} + R \leq \sqrt{2}z + R \\
&\leq \frac{\sqrt{2(1+\delta)}}{1 - \sqrt{t/(t-1)}\delta} \epsilon + \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}\delta + \sqrt{t(\sqrt{(t-1)/t} - \delta)}\delta}{t(\sqrt{(t-1)/t} - \delta)} + 1 \right) R.
\end{aligned}$$

Substitute R into this inequality and the conclusion follows.

For the case where $t \cdot k$ is not an integer, we set $t^* := \lceil tk \rceil / k$, then $t^* > t$ and $\delta_{t^*k} = \delta_{tk} < \sqrt{\frac{t-1}{t}} < \sqrt{\frac{t^*-1}{t^*}}$. We can then prove the result by working on δ_{t^*k} . $\blacksquare$

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Cohen, W. Dahmen, and R. Devore. Compressed sensing and best k -term approximation. *American Mathematical Society*, Volume 22, Number 1, January 2009, Pages 211-231.
- [2] E.J. Candès and T. Tao. Decoding by linear programming. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, 51(2005), 4203-4215.

- [3] E.J.Candès, J.Romberg and T.Tao. Stable Signal Recovery from Incomplete and Inaccurate Measurements. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 59 (2006) 1207-1223
- [4] T. Cai and A. Zhang. Sharp RIP bound for sparse signal and lowrank matrix recovery. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.* vol. 35, pp. 74-93, Jan. 2013.
- [5] T. Cai and A. Zhang. Sparse representation of a polytope and recovery of sparse signals and low-rank matrices, *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 60, 122-132, 2014.
- [6] Yonina C. Eldar and Shahar Mendelson. Phase retrieval: Stability and recovery guarantees. *Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis*, Volume 36, Issue 3, May 2014, Pages 473-494.
- [7] Y. C. Eldar and S. Mendelson. Phase retrieval: Stability and recovery guarantees. *Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis*, 36(3):473-494, 2014.
- [8] Mark Iwen, Aditya Viswanathan, Yang Wang, Robust Sparse Phase Retrieval Made Easy, arXiv:1410.5295.
- [9] M. Moravec, J. Romberg, and R. Baraniuk, "Compressive phase retrieval," in Proceedings of SPIE. International Society for Optics and Photonics, 2007.
- [10] Vladislav Voroninski, Zhiqiang Xu. A strong restricted isometry property, with an application to phaseless compressed sensing. arXiv:1404.3811
- [11] Yang Wang, Zhiqiang Xu, Phase Retrieval for Sparse Signals, *Applied Computational Harmonic Analysis*, Vol 37, 2014, 531-544, 2014.
- [12] Guangwu Xu, Zhiqiang Xu, On the ℓ_1 -Norm Invariant Convex k-Sparse Decomposition of Signals, *Journal of the Operations Research Society of China*, December 2013, Volume 1, Issue 4, pp 537-541.

LSEC, INST. COMP. MATH., ACADEMY OF MATHEMATICS AND SYSTEM SCIENCE, CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, BEIJING, 100091, CHINA

E-mail address: `gaobing@lsec.cc.ac.cn`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HKUST

E-mail address: `yangwang@ust.hk`

LSEC, INST. COMP. MATH., ACADEMY OF MATHEMATICS AND SYSTEM SCIENCE, CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, BEIJING, 100091, CHINA

E-mail address: `xuzq@lsec.cc.ac.cn`